UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,107	12/08/2003	Yasufumi Tsumagari	246401US2S	3052
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			. EXAMINER	
			ZHAO, DAQUAN	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	01/15/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	•	COV				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
0.65 . 4 . 4 0	10/729,107	TSUMAGARI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daquan Zhao	2621				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 N	lovember 2007.					
<u></u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 7</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	- 1				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)				
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,				

10/729,107 Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 2. Claim Status: claims 2-6 and 8-13 are canceled.

Terminal Disclaimer

3. The terminal disclaimer filed on 11/28/2007 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of applications serial No. 10/682,876 and 10/742,903 have been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There's no support in the specification for "wherein each of segmented memory spaces of the storage unit stores and outputs a plurality of pieces segmented

10/729,107

Art Unit: 2621

Page 3

expansion..." and "the segmented memory **spaces** of the storage unit are integrated to **store and output** a..."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al (US 7,194,196 B2) and Koz (US 5,990,955).

Regarding claim 1, Yamamoto et al teach an information playback apparatus comprising:

- a first acquisition unit configured to acquire contents from an information storage medium (e.g. figure 10, demultiplexer 86, which corresponds to the first acquisition unit, obtains video data,SV, from the DVD1 and sends it to the video buffer 87, column, column 18, lines 57-65);
- a second acquisition unit configured to acquire expansion
 information from at least one of the information storage medium
 and an external apparatus via a communication line (e.g. figure 10,
 demultiplexer 86, which also corresponds to a second acquisition

10/729,107 Art Unit: 2621

unit, obtains audio data, Sad, from the DVD1 and sends it to the audio buffer 92, column 19, lines 19-34);

- a storage unit configured to store the expansion information
 acquired by the second acquisition unit in accordance with a type of
 information (e.g. the audio buffer 92 corresponds to the storage unit
 and the "type information" corresponds to video, audio, and
 subpicture data, wherein these three type of data are separated by
 the demultiplexer 86 and stored in the video buffer 87, audio buffer
 92 and subpicture buffer 89 accordingly); and
- a playback unit configured to play back the contents acquired by
 the first acquisition unit, and to play back the expansion information
 stored in the storage unit in synchronism with playback of the
 contents (e.g. column 19, lines 19-34, the video and audio data are
 synchronized and figure 10 is a DVD player as mentioned in
 column 17, line 40).

However, Yamamoto et al fail to teach each of segmented memory spaces of the storage unit stores and outputs a plurality of pieces of segmented expansion information which form a first type of expansion information when the first type of expansion information is to be stored, and the segmented memory spaces of the storage unit are integrated to store and output a second type of expansion information when the second type of expansion information is to be stored. Koz teaches each of segmented memory spaces of the storage unit stores and outputs a plurality of pieces of segmented

10/729,107 Art Unit: 2621

expansion information which form a first type of expansion information when the first type of expansion information is to be stored, and the segmented memory spaces of the storage unit are integrated to store and output a second type of expansion information when the second type of expansion information is to be stored (e.g. column 8, line 49-column 9, line 8). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Koz into the teaching of Yamamoto et al to output data continuously and improve the quality of the video/ audio for viewing.

Claim 7 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 1 above.

10/729,107 Art Unit: 2621 Page 6

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEG § 706.07 (a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136 (a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing data of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing data of this action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period. Then the shortened statutory period will expire on the data the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing data of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the data of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daquan Zhao whose telephone number is (571) 270-1119. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Fri. 7:30 -5, alt Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tran Thai Q, can be reached on (571)272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-271-1990.

Daquan Zhao

Tran Thai Q Supervisory Patent Examiner